
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RELATING TO THE FULL YEAR FINANCIAL STATEMENT AND DIVIDEND ANNOUNCEMENT FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 31 JULY 2010 (THE “RESULTS ANNOUNCEMENT”)

The Board of Directors of T T J Holdings Limited (the “**Company**”, and together with its subsidiaries, the “**Group**”) wishes to clarify the following matters raised by the Singapore Exchange Securities Trading Limited (the “**SGX-ST**”) on 1 October 2010 on the Company’s Results Announcement:

2a) We note that gross profit margins have increased significantly from 16.7% for FY2009 to 25.5% in FY2010. Please provide disclosure on the projects the Company had completed for FY2009 as compared to FY2010 and clarify how the Company was able to secure better gross margins for the projects despite indicating in the Prospectus that net profit would be lower due to economic uncertainty.

The Group’s low gross profit margins for FY2009 was mainly due to the following:

- (i) the cost overrun as a result of changes to design requested by the customers for certain major projects which were recognised in FY2009, namely:
 - (aa) Pinnacle@Duxton project for which variation order claims have been made but not yet agreed as the project has not been completed; and
 - (bb) Shell Eastern Petroleum Houdini MEG project for which variation works were performed but the Group were not able to recover fully these additional costs incurred from the customer; and
- (ii) the greater cost outlay for the Double Helix Bridge project as compared to a typical structural steel project as it required costly duplex stainless steel materials and increased complexity of the structural steel fabrication works required for this project as well as some additional variation work for which costs have been incurred and variation order claims made but not yet agreed as the project has not been completed.

The Group’s gross profit margins for FY2010 are no longer affected by the above projects.

2b) We note that the item “Other credits” increased 45% from S\$1.6 mil in FY2009 to S\$2.3 mil in FY2010, which the Company has explained was due to the increase in sales of scrap. In view of the fall in the Group’s revenue by 50%, please clarify how much was the increase in scrap sales and the reasons for any significant increase.

The increase in scrap sales of approximately S\$0.7 mil (a change of 91% year-on-year) is due to a disposal of used temporary structures from previous completed projects as the lease term of the yard which was previously used to store the aforesaid temporary structures had expired in FY2010.

2c) We note that Investment Property has decreased S\$2.9 mil from S\$18.7 mil as at 31 July 2009 to S\$15.8 mil in 31 July 2010. Please provide an explanation for this significant decrease. We note also a significant increase in depreciation of investment property. To provide reasons for the significant increase in this depreciation.

The Investment Property referred to in the Results Announcement is the Group's dormitory which is located at 5A Jalan Papan, Singapore 619406 (3 years lease commencing from 2008 and an option, at the discretion of BCA to renew for a further period of three years plus three years). The significant decrease in investment property is mainly due to depreciation charged for full year of S\$2.5m in FY2010 as compared to depreciation charged of S\$0.6m for 3 months in FY2009. In addition, there are over-accruals of sub-contractors costs of S\$0.4m which are treated as a cost adjustment to the investment property costs as a result of finalisation of variation and omission of works with sub-contracts.

2d) We note that Trade and other receivables have decreased from S\$49.4 mil as at 31 July 2009 to S\$38.6 mil as at 31 July 2010. Please provide a breakdown of this item and explain why this decrease of 21% is lower than the decrease in revenue of 50%. To provide details with regards of the debtor turnover days for each financial year, explain for any significant difference in debtor turnover days and advise if the Company is having difficulties collecting any trade debts for which provision has not been made. If so, please quantify and provide reasons for the slow payment.

<u>Trade and other receivable</u>	FY2010 (S\$'000)	FY2009 (S\$'000)
Trade receivables	16,570	23,807
Construction	21,639	24,733
Other receivables	429	879
	<u>38,638</u>	<u>49,419</u>

The decrease of 21% is lower than the decrease in revenue of 50% as there is time lag between the actual work and actual billings. The Group's trade receivables due from customers generally vary in line with the stipulated stages in the contracts. The Group submits claims to its customers only upon reaching stipulated stages in the projects. The Group will invoice its customers after they review its claims based on the certification of work done issued by the Group's customers. Hence there will be time lag between the actual work done and actual billings.

	FY2010	FY2009
The average debtor turnover days	106	59

The increase in debtor turnover days is mainly due to accrued revenue for projects completed in FY2010 and final billings upon finalisation of contract sum with the Group's customers towards the end of FY2010 resulting in higher trade receivables as at 31 July 2010 and thus higher average debtor turnover days. Whilst there are trade receivables amounting in aggregate to approximately S\$2.1 mil due from its Burj Dubai Development Plot 12 & 13 project and the Dubai Maritime City project which are long-outstanding (details of which are set out in the Company's Prospectus), the Group is of the view that such trade receivables do not require any provision as it does not foresee any difficulties in collecting the trade receivables as set out in its Results Announcement.

2e) We note that Trade and other payables have decreased 18% from S\$21.7 mil as at 31 July 2009 to S\$17.8 mil as at 31 July 2010 Please provide a breakdown of this item and explain why this decrease of 18% is not in line with the decrease in Cost of Sales of 55%. To provide details with regards of the creditor turnover days for each financial year and explain for any significant difference in creditor turnover days.

<u>Trade and other payables</u>	FY2010 (S\$'000)	FY2009 (S\$'000)
Trade payables	15,129	19,332

Other payables	2,627	2,398
	<u>17,756</u>	<u>21,730</u>

The Group will acquire most of its materials at the initial stage of each project; most of the Group's projects in FY2010 are now at an initial stage and as such, the Group is in the midst of acquiring materials for work to be carried out in FY2011. As a result, the decrease of 18% is not in line with the decrease in cost of sales of 55%.

	FY2010	FY2009
The average creditors turnover days	190	132

The average creditors turnover days increased to 190 days in FY2010 mainly due to higher purchases made towards the end of FY2010 for work to be carried out in FY2011, resulting in higher trade payables as at 31 July 2010. There are no material trade payables which are long-outstanding and the Group does not foresee any difficulties in paying the trade payables.

2f) We note in the cash flow statement that an amount of S\$18.2 mil cash was used for repayment of borrowings and bill payables. Please clarify the source of cash used for these payments and confirm whether the use of IPO proceeds is in line with that set out in page 43 of the Company's Prospectus. To provide a breakdown of how IPO proceeds had been utilised to date.

The source of cash used for the repayment of borrowings of approximately S\$10.4m and bill payables of approximately S\$7.8m are internally generated funds from the Group's operating activities. The Company confirms that the use of IPO proceeds is in line with that set out in page 43 of the Company's Prospectus. To date, the Company has utilised S\$2.0 million of the IPO proceeds which were designated for general working capital.

2g) Please provide details on what "Cash restricted for use over three months" relate to and explain for the significant increase from S\$0.6 mil as at 31 July 2009 to S\$3.5 mil as at 31 July 2010.

The "Cash restricted for use over three months" relates to bank balances held by the Group's bankers to secure the Group's bank guarantee and letter of credit issued. The abovementioned increase is due to an increase in the value of letter of credit facility granted to the Group.

By Order of the Board

Teo Hock Chwee
Chairman and Managing Director

4 October 2010

CIMB Bank Berhad, Singapore Branch is the Manager for the Company's initial public offering on the SGX-ST.